Magnetic Order-Disorder Transitions on a 1/3 - Depleted Square Lattice
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Quantum Monte Carlo simulations are used to study the magnetic and transport properties of the Hubbard Model, and its strong coupling Heisenberg limit, on a one-third depleted square lattice. This is the geometry occupied, after charge ordering, by the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ Ni$^{1+}$ atoms in a single layer of the nickelate materials La$_4$Ni$_3$O$_8$ and (predicted) La$_3$Ni$_2$O$_6$. Our model is also a description of strained graphene, where a honeycomb lattice has bond strengths which are inequivalent. For the Heisenberg case, we determine the location of the quantum critical point (QCP) where there is an onset of long range antiferromagnetic order (LRAFO), and the magnitude of the order parameter, and then compare with results of spin wave theory. An ordered phase also exists when electrons are itinerant. In this case, the growth in the antiferromagnetic structure factor coincides with the transition from band insulator to metal in the absence of interactions.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Fd, 75.47.Lx,

I. INTRODUCTION:

Over the last several decades, quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods have been widely used to investigate magnetic, charge, and pairing correlations in the Hubbard Hamiltonian on a square lattice. A central issue has been the intimate interplay between these different types of order, most fundamentally the possibility that magnetic correlations give rise to $d$-wave superconductivity. The occurrence of inhomogeneous (stripe) charge distributions upon doping the half-filled lattice, where antiferromagnetism (AF) survives in regions of low hole concentration but is suppressed on stripes of high concentration, has also been shown to have profound implications for pairing.

In more recent studies, the effect of depletion of the square lattice has also been investigated. In this case, a regular removal of sites can be regarded as an extreme limit of the spontaneous formation of charge and spin patterns in which the degrees of freedom on certain sites are completely eliminated. Further types of transitions were then shown to occur within these geometries. Two prominent examples are the Lieb lattice, where 1/4 of the sites are removed, giving rise to a flat electronic band and ferromagnetism, and the 1/5 depleted lattice where spin liquid phases compete with magnetic order. This latter geometry is realized by the vanadium atom locations in CaV$_4$O$_9$, and also by some members of the iron-pnictide family. A crucial feature of this situation is the occurrence of two separate types of bonds, and hence of exchange or hopping energies, in the depleted structure.

Depleted lattices can also be formed starting from other, non-square, lattices. For example, the Kagomé lattice arises from removing one fourth of the sites of a triangular lattice. Like the Lieb lattice, the Kagomé structure has a flat band. However, because it is not bipartite, the band does not lie between the dispersing ones.

In this paper, we investigate the magnetic and charge patterns within the 1/3 depleted square lattice of Fig. 1, which is formed by the red sites remaining after the removal of the yellow sites, which form stripes along one diagonal. The bonds between red sites are of two sorts: ones which were the near neighbor bonds of the original, full square lattice, and ones which connect through the diagonal rows of removed sites, and which were next near neighbors of the original lattice. This distinction will be modeled, in the following sections, by allowing for different energy scales on the two types of bonds. Notice that this lattice structure remains bipartite, a fact which has implications for AF order without frustration and also for the absence of a sign problem in QMC simulations.

Figure 1 is equivalent to a strained version of the honeycomb geometry realized in graphene. “Artificial graphene” lattices, can be achieved by nanopatterning, or by trapping
ultracold atoms on optical lattices. They offer the possibility of tunable bond strengths, for example through application of strain, and have recently been discussed as a means for further investigation of Dirac particles and their associated correlated and topological phases\cite{19}. Graphene with a “Kekulé distortion”\cite{18,20,21} involves the appearance of two distinct bond hoppings, albeit in a pattern different from that of Fig. 1.

A second motivation for investigating the geometry of Fig. 1, which more directly connects with the notion of ‘depletion’ and which also fundamentally involves magnetic order, is provided by recent experimental\cite{22} and theoretical\cite{23} studies of the layered nickelates La$_4$Ni$_3$O$_8$, and La$_3$Ni$_2$O$_6$. In these materials, the formal Ni valences of +1.33 and +1.5 are separated into charge ordered Ni$^{1+}$ (spin $\frac{1}{2}$) and Ni$^{2+}$ (spin 0), so that spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ stripes are formed at 45° relative to the Ni-O bonds, as in Fig. 1 for La$_4$Ni$_3$O$_8$. This charge ordering is accompanied by structural distortions and the opening of a gap. The Ni$^{1+}$ atoms form an AF arrangement in analogy with the magnetism of the CuO$_2$ planes of the cuprate superconductors. Here we will investigate AF correlations associated with this geometry. Other layered nickelate materials\cite{24-27} have also been investigated with quantum simulations, especially with the classical spin-fermion method\cite{28}.

II. STRONG COUPLING (HEISENBERG) LIMIT

We first consider the case of localized spin-1/2 moments on the 1/3 depleted lattice with Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j + g \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

with exchange constants $J$ and $gJ$ on the two types of bonds of Fig. 1.

This model can be treated within linear spin wave theory (LSWT) by replacing the spin operators by bosonic ones via the Holstein-Primakoff (HP) transformation, and then invoking the linear approximation describing small fluctuations around the broken symmetry phase. The resulting noninteracting Hamiltonian can be diagonalized in momentum space and through a Bogliubov rotation. The spin wave spectrum is,

$$\omega(J^*, k) = J^* \sqrt{1 - \frac{|\gamma(\vec{k})|^2}{J^*^2}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where,

$$\gamma(\vec{k}) = \sum_\delta J(\delta) e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_\delta}$$

$$= J [e^{-i((\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_1) + (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_2))} + e^{i((\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_1) - (2\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_2))}] + gJ e^{i(2(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_1) - (\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_2))}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

with lattice vectors $\vec{a}_1 = 2\hat{x} - \hat{y}$ and $\vec{a}_2 = \hat{x} + \hat{y}$. Here $J^* = \sum_\delta J(\delta)$ is the sum of exchange constants over near neighbor sites. The AFM staggered order parameter,

$$m_s = \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{i \in A} \langle S_i^z \rangle - \sum_{i \in B} \langle S_i^z \rangle \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

is obtained in the LSWT, writing $\langle S_i^z \rangle$ in terms of HP operators. At $T = 0$, we obtain:

$$m_s = S + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_k (1 - \frac{|\gamma(\vec{k})|^2}{J^*^2}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $S$ is the spin.
We can also treat the Hamiltonian more exactly on lattices of finite size using the stochastic series expansion (SSE) quantum Monte Carlo method. SSE samples terms in the power expansion of $e^{-\beta H}$ in the partition function. Operator loop (cluster) updates perform the sampling efficiently. The square of the staggered magnetization, $\langle m_x^2 \rangle$, can be evaluated to high precision, and extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit.

Figure 2 shows the results of SSE simulations for different values of the bond anisotropy $g$ and inverse linear system size $1/L$. The order parameter first increases with $g$, reaching a maximum at the honeycomb limit $g = 1$, and finally begins to decrease. LRAFO vanishes above $g_c = 1.75 \pm 0.01$. The extrapolated order parameter from SSE (Fig. 2) and from LSWT (Eq. 5) is given in Fig. 3. LSWT greatly overestimates the persistance of LRAFO at large $g$. It also predicts a quantum phase transition at small, but nonzero, $g_c \approx 0.065 \pm 0.005$. Similar to the case of a square lattice with anisotropic exchange, a zero $g_c$ is expected here though small nonzero value is obtained in our calculations due to finite size effect.

We emphasize the contrast of these results with those of the Heisenberg model on 1/5-depleted lattice appropriate to modeling CaV$_4$O$_9$ where the lower $g_c \approx 0.60 \pm 0.05$. The difference, as for the case of the anisotropic square lattice, is that for the 1/5 depleted case the building blocks are small clusters (either dimers or four site plaquettes) in both the $g$ small and $g$ large limits. In the present case, two site clusters are formed for large $g$, but the small $g$ limit still has extended 1-d structures. These give rise to LRAFO even for small $g$.

FIG. 4. (a) Band gap $\Delta$ as a function of the ratio of hopping. $\Delta$ vanishes for $t'/t < 2$. The noninteracting limit is a band insulator ($\Delta > 0$) for $t'/t > 2$. (b) Semi-metallic band structure at $t'/t = 0.5$. (c) Insulating band structure at $t'/t = 0.25$.

III. ITINERANT LIMIT

We next consider itinerant electrons, a single band Hubbard Hamiltonian on the same 1/3-depleted lattice,

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma})$$

$$-t' \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle \sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma} + c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma})$$

$$+ U \sum_i (n_{i\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2})(n_{i\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}) \quad (6)$$

The hopping along and between the one dimensional chains are $t$ and $t'$, respectively. The properties of this model are solved using the determinant QMC method. In this method the partition function is expressed as a path integral. The discretization of inverse temperature $\beta$ enables the isolation of the quartic interaction terms which are decoupled via a Hubbard-Stratonovitch (HS) transformation. The resulting quadratic fermionic trace is done analytically, and the HS field is then sampled stochastically. Because the scaling is cubic in the lattice size $N$ we study systems only up to $N = 2 \times 12 \times 12$ sites in contrast to the spin models described in the previous section where SSE scales linearly in $N$ and systems up to $N = 1600$ (or more) are accessible. Equation (6) is written in particle-hole symmetric form so that the lattice is half-filled $\rho = \langle n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow} \rangle = 1$ for all lattice sites $i$ and any values of $t', U$ and temperature $T$. At this electron density, simulations are possible down to low $T$ without encountering the fermion sign problem.

In the noninteracting limit of Eq. (6) we have two bands with dispersion,

$$E(\vec{k}) = \pm \left[ (t + t \cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_2) + t' \cos(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_1))^2 \right.$$

$$+ (t \sin(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_2) + t' \sin(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}_1))^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

Here the noninteracting band width $w$ is kept fixed, $w = 4t + 2t' = 6$, as $t'/t$ varies, setting the the energy scale $w = 6$ throughout the paper. As illustrated in Fig. 4(a), the band gap $\Delta$ vanishes for $t'/t < 2$. These bands touch at two Dirac points for $t'/t = \frac{1}{2}$ in Fig. 4(b). Figure 4(c) shows the band insulating case, $t'/t = 0.25$.

To characterize the magnetic properties of Eq. 6 we measure the AF structure factor

$$S_{AF} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{IJ} (-1)^I \langle \vec{S}_J \cdot \vec{S}_{I+J} \rangle \quad (8)$$

where the factor $(-1)^I = +1(-1)$ if site $l$ is on the same(different) sublattice of the bipartite structure of Fig. 1.

The spin correlation in the singlet phase falls off exponentially with separation $l$ and $S_{AF}$ is independent of lattice size. If LRAFO is present, $S_{AF} \propto N$, since...
spin correlations remain nonzero out to all distances on a finite lattice.

Figure 5 shows $S_{AF}$ on an $N = 8 \times 8$ lattice for different $U$ as a function of $t'/t$. It is known that LRAFO exists at the symmetric honeycomb lattice point $t = t'$ only when $U$ is sufficiently large\cite{35,36,37,38,39}, with the most accurate value\cite{40} of the critical point $U_c = 3.869 \pm 0.13$. The data of Fig. 5 is suggestive of this result, with $S_{AF}$ being essentially independent of the value of $t'/t$ for $U = 1, 2, 3$, and becoming both larger and sensitive to the anisotropy for $U \geq 4$.

Finite size scaling can be used to analyze quantitatively the possibility of LRAFO. Such data are shown in Fig. 6. We find that hopping anisotropy increases $U_c$, in agreement with our results for the $g$ dependence of the order parameter in the strong coupling Heisenberg model (Fig. 3) which falls off to either side of $g = 1$.

A second diagnostic of magnetic order is the near-neighbor spin correlation between adjacent pairs of sites. This can be evaluated for both intra- and inter-chain bonds, and measures the formation of singlet correlations, $m_t$ and $m_{t'}$, respectively, on the associated bonds. Fig. 7 shows $m_t$ and $m_{t'}$ for different values of $U$. For the Heisenberg limit, $U \rightarrow \infty$, we use $J \sim t^2/U$ to convert $g = J'/J$ to $t'/t$. In the strong coupling limit $\langle S_i \cdot S_j \rangle = -\frac{3}{4}$ for a singlet. Here in the Hubbard model, the finite value of the on-site repulsion, $U < \infty$, allows for charge fluctuations which reduce the magnitude of the singlet correlator. The quantities $m_t$ and $m_{t'}$ have opposite trends in the two regimes $t'/t < t$ and $t < t'$ of Fig. 7. When $t'/t < 1$, $m_t$ is suppressed, and $m_{t'}$ increases and saturates with decreasing $t'/t'$. This supports the physical scenario in which singlets are formed between the stronger $t'$ bonds. On the other hand, if $t'/t < 1$, $m_{t'}$ is diminished. $m_t$ approaches the short range AF correlations of the 1-d chains\cite{41}, without the formation of singlets on the $t$ bonds. Thus although at first glance Fig. 5 indicates similar, reduced values for $S_{AF}$ for both small $t'/t$ and for small $t'/t'$, the singlet correlator of Fig. 7 suggests these are rather distinct limits: full singlets form at $t'/t' \rightarrow 0$ but not $t'/t \rightarrow 0$.

The evaluation of these magnetic correlations allows us to sketch the phase diagram in the plane of hopping anisotropy and interaction strength shown in Fig. 8. The fact that $g_c = 1.75$ in the Heisenberg limit is less than the anisotropy required to open a nonzero gap $\Delta$ in the non-interacting band structure suggests that the destruction of LRAFO involves more than the simple RPA-like criterion of the vanishing of the density of states at the Fermi level. That is, the competing possibility of singlet formation also plays a role in the absence of LRAFO.
The singlet correlator was found to grow rapidly for \( t'/t \sim 1.5 \), coinciding with a loss of AF order and the approach to the band insulator at \( t'/t > 2 \) in the noninteracting limit. The critical interaction strength \( U_c \sim 3.87 \) for \( t = t' \) was shown to increase with inhomogeneity \( t' \neq t \). The effect of random removal of sites on AF order has been studied in both itinerant and localized models. \( 42-46 \)

The one third depleted geometry that we investigated has recently been shown to be realized as a result of charge stripe ordering in the nickelates, so our simulations speak to the conditions for AF order in those materials. The relative strengths of first and second neighbor exchange couplings for nickelates has not yet been addressed. Another key feature is the presence of multiple NiO\(_2\) layers and the surprising nature of charge equivalence between the layers. We cannot immediately address this phenomenon, since in our treatment charge ordering is put in \textit{a priori} through our consideration of a one third depleted lattice and, in addition, our restriction to a single layer model.

A more approximate method than DQMC, which considers itinerant electrons interacting with classical spins, can be employed to treat multiple bands. It may be used to explore the \textit{spontaneous} formation of charge ordering, and we leave the details of this to future study.
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